

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

DAVID D. HENWOOD, :
 :
 Plaintiff, :
 :
 v. : Civil Action No.
 : 3:01 CV 996 (AWT)
 :
 UNISOURCE WORLDWIDE, INC. and :
 GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORP. :
 :
 Defendants. : April 15, 2004

REPLY TO DEFENSES

The plaintiff David Henwood denies generally each and every defense set forth in the defendants' Answer, dated March 29, 2004.

More specifically, and without limitation, the plaintiff pleads the following defenses in response to the defendants' defenses:

Fifth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Sixth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Eighth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Thirteenth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Seventeenth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Eighteenth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Twenty-Third Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Twenty-Seventh Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Twenty-Ninth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Thirty-Fourth Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Forty-First Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Forty-Second Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

Forty-Third Defense

The defendants are barred from asserting this defense by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver.

THE PLAINTIFF,
DAVID D. HENWOOD

By _____
David M. Cohen, Esq. (ct06047)
Daniel M. Young (ct17188)
WOFSEY, ROSEN, KWESKIN &
KURIANSKY, LLP
600 Summer Street
Stamford, CT 06902
(203) 327-2300

The plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

Daniel M. Young

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing was mailed to the following counsel of record on this 15th day of April, 2004:

Wendi J. Kemp, Esq.
Gregory B. Nokes, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
CityPlace I
185 Asylum Street
Hartford, Connecticut 06103

C. Randolph Sullivan, Esq.
Kimberlee W. DeWitt, Esq.
Hunton & Williams
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Daniel M. Young